

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/830,749 06/25/2001			Jordan L. Holtzman		11909.1USWO	2030
23552	7590	09/25/2002		•		
MERCHANT & GOULD PC					EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 25 MINNEAPO	903 DLIS, MN 554	402-0903			HAYES, ROBEI	RT CLINTON
		· '\			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			,	1647	
	and the second s				DATE MAILED: 09/25/2002	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Acti in Commons	09/830,749	HOLTZMAN, JORDAN L.						
Office Acti n Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Hayes	1647						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 J</u>								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	•							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) 1-37 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)						

Application/Control Number: 09/830,749

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.
- 2. This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.
- 3. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-3 drawn to an isolated complex comprising a Q2 and a β-amyloid.

Group II, claims(s) 4-11, drawn a method of detecting in a biological sample a complex of Q2 and β -amyloid.

Group III, claims(s) 12-21, drawn to a method of detecting aggregation of β-amyloid.

Group IV, claims(s) 22-35, drawn to a method for screening for Alzheimer's disease.

Group V, claims(s) 36 and 37, drawn to an antibody.

- 4. The inventions listed as Groups I, II, III, IV, and V do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:
- 5. Group I recites the technical feature of an isolated complex comprising a Q2 and a β -amyloid, which is not required by the other Groups II, III, and IV.
- 6. Group II recites the technical feature of correlating the level of a complex to the level of β -amyloid aggregation in a biological sample, which is not required by the other Groups I, III, IV, and V.
- 7. Group III recites the technical feature of detecting the formation of a β -amyloid plaques, which is not required by the other Groups I, II, IV, and V.
- 8. Group IV recites the technical feature of the administration of an effective amount of Q2 to a relevant tissue of a subject in need thereof, which is not required by the other Groups I, II, III, and V.
- 9. Group V recites the technical feature of an antibody, which is not required by the other Groups I, II, III, and IV.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/830,749

Art Unit: 1647

10. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

- 11. The species of neurotrophins are as follows:
 - a. Animal β-amyloid 1-42
 - b. Animal β-amyloid 1-38
 - c. Human β -amyloid 1-42
 - d. Human β-amyloid 1-38
- 12. The following claim(s) are generic: 1-3 and 12-37.
- 13. Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 14. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 15. If Applicant elects any one of Group I, III, IV, or V one species from the β -amyloid group must also be chosen to be considered fully responsive.

Application/Control Number: 09/830,749

Art Unit: 1647

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Hayes whose telephone number is (703) 305-3132. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, Ph.D. can be reached on 703-308-4623. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications. The fax phone numbers for the customer service center is 703-872-9305.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

CJN September 18, 2002

BARY KUNZ

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4